CoC Executive Committee Meeting Minutes

March 13th, 2015

Present: Greta Guarton, LICH; Sarah Brewster, CDLH; Paul Fink, CDCLI; Kim Livingston, CHI; Romarie McCue, The Retreat; Victoria McGinn, Suburban; Donna O’Hearon, Mercy Haven; Frances Pierre, HELP USA; Larry Russell, SAIL; Deborah Sanderson, TSLI; Beth Wickey, N/S LS; Daniel Stern, Concern; Mike Giuffrida, LICH.

**Review of Guiding Principles:**

Agreed Upon by Executive Committee 2/26:

Underperforming programs: All programs that scored in Tier II and the bottom 3 programs in Tier II would be required to receive technical assistance from the CoC.

Removal of discretionary criterion for the Ranking Committee to consider other factors not otherwise reflected in the application (positive or negative).

*Agreed Upon by Executive Committee 3/13:*

*HMIS renewal would be guaranteed to be placed in Tier I. Centralized Assessment would be placed in Tier I as a HUD requirement.*

**Reallocation Funding:**

The amount of funds available for a reallocation program was $112,000. If there were any agencies that voluntarily reduced budgets and returned funding to the CoC, that funding would go towards the reallocation program. Ranking criterion #20 rates the percentage of contract funds expended (8 point scale). If an agency reduced their budget, that agency would receive credit for spending half of the returned dollar amount.

For Example: An agency with a $100,000 budget, used $90,000 and returned 10,000 or 10% of their overall budget. This agency would be credited and receive points for spending 5,000 or 5% of their budget.

Agencies that voluntarily gave back funding would be rated on a 4 point scale, rather than an 8 point scale and receive credit for spending ½ of the value that was returned.

Ranking criterion #20 would be a two part criterion as follows:

20. Percentage of contract funds expended (8 point scale)

20A. Percentage of funds to be reallocated (4 point scale)

The due date to inform the CoC if agencies were underspending and chose to give back funding would be end of business day Friday, March 20th.

*3/13- It was agreed upon by the Executive Committee that a reallocation program could be for a RRH program or a PSH program for CH families.*

*3/13 Motion to only accept 1 reallocation program (or multiple programs up to reallocation funding amount) to be scored on ranking scale, as it falls, and other applications would be removed from the ranking. The reallocation program would be decided upon by the Ranking Committee. Ranking criterion for the reallocation proposals would be ranked using relevant criterion from the CoC ranking criterion and for RRH program proposals require the three threshold components of RRH (Housing Identification, Rent and Move-In Assistance and RRH Case Management and Services) including a Housing First Philosophy.*

*\*Review Three Components at greater length at* [*http://www.endhomelessness.org/library/entry/rapid-re-housing2*](http://www.endhomelessness.org/library/entry/rapid-re-housing2)*.*

**Ranking Committee:**

At this time, there are two official nominations received to be a part of the 2015 Ranking Committee. Nominations would also be submitted for Tom Grecco (SC DSS), Paul Broderick (NC DSS) and Connie Lassandro (CDCLI).

**Next Meeting:**

Friday, March 20th 10:30am or immediately following CoC meeting. Discussion on RRH led by Connie Lassandro (CDCLI).